



Government wants ban on hunting but....

ISSN 0950-2912 ISSUE No. 98.

BLAIR SILENT ON ANIMAL SLAUGHTER

OVER THE PAST FEW YEARS there has been a steady increase in concerns over animal welfare and a heated debate has taken place over the rights and wrongs of hunting, hare coursing, even angling. These subjects are now right at the top of the political agenda in Britain. On the eve of the Countryside Rally in central London Tony Blair felt it necessary to announce that he would vote for a ban on foxhunting in the next session of Parliament.

Some people argue that foxhunting is necessary to keep down the wild fox population, while others argue that the cruelty of the chase and kill are too much and that other ways should be used to control the populations. However there is one form of animal cruelty where there can be no argument about its necessity - the Ritual Slaughter of animals causes needless suffering and has absolutely no justification. However in 1990's Britain any attempt to outlaw the practice is a taboo subject and contravenes all the laws of political correctness.

Meat for the British public is slaughtered in the most humane way possible with the animals killed instantaneously. Suffering is kept to the minimum and it is all over very quickly. Unfortunately this is not the case with ritual slaughter.

The religions involved require that the animal be drained of its blood while still alive. This means that the animal can be alive and in agony for up to five minutes while blood drains away through incisions on the throat. That any religious requirements could put animals through such a barbaric practice beggars belief.

That animal welfare campaigners can allow such practices to take place unchallenged all over Britain illustrates their hypocrisy, especially as Britain has the largest export trade

of ritually slaughtered meat in the whole of Europe.

A National Democrat government would bring Britain into line with countries like Sweden, Norway and Switzerland and implement a complete ban on the evil practice of ritual slaughter within our shores.

The National Democrats' animal welfare credentials are impeccable and are solely concerned with the welfare of animals not with social antagonism or being politically correct. Many supposedly "concerned animal rights groups" seem more interested with the type of person involved in any affair connected with animals rather than the actual welfare of animals. As usual the Westminster politicians are right in the forefront of this hypocrisy.



It is politically incorrect to denounce the cruelty caused by ritual slaughter.....but O.K. to denounce hunting



Europe Takes Control Of Our Economy

When Gordon Brown announced his budget he broke with tradition in two ways. Firstly and of no great significance he had acquired a new case in which to hold his speech. Secondly and of much greater significance the speech itself had been worked out according to the dictates of our new European masters.

The classic example of this was the announcement that V.A.T. on fuel was to be reduced from 8% to 5% but could not be abolished because it was against E.U. regulations. This despite the fact that when in opposition New Labour had screamed that this was an invidious tax which would cause immense suffering.

For the first time it was openly admitted that Britain no longer controls its own economy and could no longer put the interest of its people first. We are now subject to the whims and desires of uncaring European bureaucrats.

However this was the second signal that "New Labour" was pressing forward with submerging Britain into the new European Super State. One of their first acts had been to give the Bank of England its independence.

Sunday Times business correspondent, John Jay, noted on 8th June: this action is essential for British entry into the EMU, European Monetary Union. The Bank of England had to become independent before it can be swallowed up by the planned European central Bank



which is to run the new "Euro".

Neither New Labour nor Old Tories have come clean on whether they will scrap a thousand years of sterling and replace it with the unstable funny money Euro. But all the evidence suggests that that is exactly what they are doing.

Not in the first round probably, and not openly and above-board certainly, but eventually, gradually and by stealth, just as they have sold the rest of Britain's sovereignty to Brussels.

As top Sunday Times Jay rightly put it: "You do not have to be a conspiracy theorist to think new Labour, with its whopping majority, is moving rapidly to position Britain for entry into the single currency without saying as much". Entry which will take place with its erosion of our independence and its further betrayal of the British people.

Compassion in World Farming Condemns Ritual Slaughter

COMPASSION IN WORLD FARMING - the respected animal welfare organisation believes that ritual slaughter which involves the slitting of the throats of live and awake animals is indefensible. In a statement issued in January 1997 Peter Stevenson, their Political and Legal Director stated:-

"CIWF is concerned to learn that more recently there has been an increasing demand that animals should not first be stunned." "CIWF is aware that many Muslims oppose stunning. We would, however, ask the Muslim community to listen to what we have to say and to give further consideration to the position."

"In its report, the Farm Animal Welfare Council referred to research which found that loss of sensibility did not occur in sheep until 18-70 seconds after their throats were cut. In calves, the interval was 132-297 seconds. They quoted an-

other study which found that the average time from throat-cutting to loss of brain responsiveness is 17 seconds for calves and 14 seconds for sheep. The FAWC concluded that even the shorter times must be regarded as unacceptably long and that if, as a result of less than expert slaughter, only one carotid artery is severed (which will not be uncommon) these times can be increased five-fold."

In other words a calf may be alive for up to 20 minutes from the time that the butcher cuts a carotid artery to the time of loss of consciousness - during this time the animal will be hung upside down with blood draining from them. Animals killed in this way will be found in the Halal butchers shops that can now be found in virtually every town and city in Britain.

FIND OUT MORE ABOUT
THE NATIONAL DEMOCRATS

0181 471-6872

Newham plans seaside holidays for refugees

Newham is one of the most deprived boroughs in the country and has one of the worst educational records. Yet the council has far more important matters on its mind. It has decided that the 224 refugee families and 365 single refugees should be given bed and breakfast by the seaside in Eastbourne at a cost of £130 per week EACH.

A Newham Council spokeswoman said that this was inevitable because there was not enough accommodation in Newham suitable for these "refugees".

Not surprisingly Newham Council had been trying to keep this plan confidential but someone leaked the report.

If all the "refugees" are housed in this way the total cost could run to over £160,000 each week, equivalent to eight and a half million a year - no wonder Newham is a deprived area.

Hand guns first - shotguns next

In their absolute determination to prevent anyone in Britain from owning anything more powerful than a catapult the Home Office is already considering extending the current ban on hand guns to include shotguns.

It is only a question of time before kitchen knives become illegal, finger nails have to be kept short, and the only acceptable footwear is fluffy slippers.

One of these days it may dawn on the powers that be that it is not weapons that kill but the people using them.

Spice Girl's dress banned in Ulster

Staff at a summer school leisure centre disco in Ballynahinch forced a disabled 11 year old girl to remove her Spice Girls - style dress because it has a prominent Union Flag on it.

The staff in Co. Down, N. Ireland claimed that wearing such a dress might "provoke a riot between teenage Catholics and Protestants".

One official said: "Some people would not see this as a Spice Girls dress. There are people in our country who would see it as a provocative emblem. I'm not saying I see it that way, but we have to take a view on it that protects children".

Question 1. Should we pander to people who find our national emblem to be "provocative"?

Question 2. If such people find our symbol of nationhood provocative - then what are they doing in our nation?

Sheffield Council tries to set up "Vice Zone"

Sheffield Council have been thwarted in their attempt to set up a red light "tolerance zone" (in other words an area where the rule of law no longer applies) only because of arguments over where it was to be sited.

A total of 12 sites were looked at and unbelievably the police were involved in these discussions about where the rule of law was no longer to apply.

Sheffield police are however investigating the case of 70 council employees who cheated the Council out of £100,000 but when caught were allowed to keep their jobs.

It would appear that Sheffield has already declared its independence from the rest of Britain and is now enacting its own laws - the laws of the jungle and the alley.

ARTICLES

The Flag welcomes articles on topics of interest to our readers, especially the events and activities of patriotic groups and individuals - photographs particularly welcome.

Branch Meetings Booming

SINCE THE General Election National Democrat branches have been recording excellent meetings. Pride of place must go to the West Midlands where an average attendance of between 20 and 30 is now being recorded. This may have something to do with the pleasant surroundings and the lavish supplies of sandwiches which are provided. However serious discussions also take place and the successes in local elections are proof of the progress being made by the branch.


In the East Midlands excellent attendances are also being recorded in Derbyshire, while growth in Nottingham and Leicestershire has been a bit slower.

The North West maintains its regular meetings, however with members spread out attendances are not stunning, but by moving the meetings around Stephen Ebbs does achieve a good total attendance over a period of time.

The South West is also moving its



A meeting in Burton on Trent attracted a number of new members and people wishing to join the National Democrats.



Graham Hardy (centre) chairs the meeting flanked by Keith Sharp (left) and Ian Anderson (right).

meetings around and forming new branches in places such as Honiton and Plymouth.

At long last London is showing real signs of life and more members are now attending activities and meetings. Progress is not dramatic but it is very steady and solid.

Most branches are now working to turn the enquiries received during the general election into full memberships and once the summer holiday season is over most branches are expecting to see record attendances and a resurgence in the level of activity.



In Blackburn Stephen Ebbs welcomes the new recruits while Ian Anderson listens carefully

Destroying Our Countryside

ANCIENT HEDGEROWS and hedgerows containing rare species of wildlife are being systematically destroyed in Britain by farmers and estate managers greedy to cash in on E.U. subsidies for growing arable crops.

Once Britain was full of traditional mixed farms where small fields divided by hedgerows provided a multitude of farm produce for the local community - but not anymore.

Traditional mixed farms are now a thing of the past as the agri-business ethic of 'big fields, bigger profits' governs the thinking of many in the farming industry today.

Take the example of Fenhampton Farm, Weobley, near Leominster in Herefordshire. Twelve months ago it was a pastoral landscape of small fields, hedgerows, trees and small streams. Then the tenant farmer died and Fenhampton Farm was taken over by the Garnstone Estate. Now, 1,300 metres of hedgerows have been uprooted, a 200 year old orchard chopped down and a section of brook dug out and dammed.

Local resident, Norma Forrest, whose father worked on the farm in the Fifties, was bitterly upset: "The whole area has had its heart ripped out. It's been vandalised".

David Lovelace, of the Council for the Protection of Rural England, was also very critical: "The whole pastoral ambience has been destroyed. If you want to alter windows in a village you will need planning permission in writing, but if you want to plough up 250 acres of beautiful landscape you can just go ahead and do it".

But the Garnstone estate owner, James Verdin was totally non-plussed by the criticism: "The estate exists to be profitable. The fields were too small for the large agricultural machinery that we have to meet

wildlife of the countryside which exists in or around hedgerows.

Stephen Brady of the Milton Keynes Natural History Society recently went on a fact-finding mission to the Deux Serves area of Western France where traditional mixed farming is still very evident:

"Hedgerows abound in this area and the insects, birds and animals that live in them are all those that you had in Britain ten or fifteen years ago. Within a hedgerow another world exists. Life cycles formed over centuries and so well-balanced by nature are here in abundance. In France it's still thriving, in Britain it is all but dead. Agri-business farmers and estate managers can have little idea of what they are destroying when they uproot a hedgerow or they wouldn't be able to do it".



It's very late in the day to start to save Britain's hedgerows but the National Democrats must put such a campaign to the forefront of their environmental agenda. Not only should every hedgerow in Britain have a preservation order on it, but farmers should also be given incentives to start planting new hedgerows.

Of course, the underlying need is to change Britain's current farming trends. Britain's farmers must be persuaded to turn their back on E.U. subsidies and the attractive world market prices for growing arable crops and focus their attention on producing goods for their local population and protecting the environment to enhance the quality of life for all those living in the region.

Germany wants Britain to pay more for E.U.

The Germans are determined to cut their contribution to the E.U. and force countries such as Britain to pay even more for the privilege of belonging to the Super State.

Theo Waigel, the German Finance Minister said on 28th July: "I have already made clear to my European colleagues that by the year 2000 at the latest a fairer financing system for the European union has to be worked out".

Of course the National Democrats agree with Herr Waigel - their suggestion is that Germany's contribution - and Britain's - should be precisely zero which could easily be achieved by the simple expedient of abolishing the E.U. - what a way to celebrate the Millennium!

Lies, Damned Lies and E.U. Propaganda

The E.U. uses some of the billions of pounds that you contribute towards its running costs to produce a whole range of literature. One item is: "A Classroom Guide To The European Union". On page 22 it states that: "The E.U. operates a common pricing system which helps to stabilise fishermen's incomes".

Having "stabilised" the income of our fishermen it fails to explain why it is then necessary "Through the Financial Instrument for Fisheries Guidance (FIFG), the ERDF, the ESF and the PESCA Initiative, the EU helps coastal areas develop new economic activities, in particular tourism facilities, search for new markets and create new jobs. It also helps fishermen train or retrain".

Imported eating habits upset New Zealand animal lovers

Since the British Government turned its back on New Zealand and Australia, these two countries have had little alternative but to develop their links with South East Asia. Immigration from this area continues to be a political hot potato. However it is not just the arrival of people that is causing some consternation.

In Auckland the arrival of Sushi bars has resulted in a new law being rushed through. The situation was highlighted by the case of an 18 year old girl who asked about one item on the menu and was directed to look at another table where the delicacy was being enjoyed.

A live crayfish was cut in half and was walking around the table while the customers got stuck into it with their chopsticks. The law will impose a sentence of upto three months for this new offence.

High Living


Zarak Khan had an interesting life style. He owned two luxury limousines worth £80,000 each. His annual earnings were in the region of £1,000,000, and he owned at least half a dozen homes.

How did he live like this - he was a rapist and a violent pimp running a string of prostitutes, some as young as 14.

He kept one 14 year old girl a virtual prisoner while he worked her as a prostitute. Khan also engaged in drug trafficking.


He has finally been caught and sentenced to 13 years for rape, living off immoral earnings, making threats to kill, wounding and sex offences against a 14 year old girl.

He has now been sentenced to a further three years for drug offences, and his luxury limousines have been confiscated.



Detective Inspector Colin White said: "People who deal in drugs are always likely to get their assets confiscated and this case is an excellent result for the police".

'Class War' is over



The anarchist group that threatened mayhem and produced a variety of literature exhorting its supporters to violently attack anyone to the right of John Prescott has finally folded.

In its heyday it organised various minor riots and produced some fairly bloodthirsty stickers. Its newspaper "Class War" indulged endlessly in Marxist rhetoric interspersed with schoolboy swear words.

However all this has now come to an end and they have ended with a whimper rather than a bang: "In short what passes for a revolutionary movement in this country is pitiful...if our ideas are so brilliant, why do we collectively amount to so little and have so little influence?"

Deaths from ecstasy up four-fold

The number of people who have died from poisoning by mind-altering drugs such as ecstasy has quadrupled - up from eight deaths between 1985-89 to 32 in 1991-95 according to a report in the British Medical Journal published on 1st August.

Deaths from opiates and narcotics also soared from 17 in 1985-89 to 67 in 1991-95.

The researchers, led by Dr. Ian Roberts said the data probably underestimated the total of drug-related deaths.

Palestinian leader seeks more aid to fund corruption



Yasser Arafat, the Palestinian leader, flew into London on 14th July to ask Tony Blair to increase the amount of aid Britain gives Palestine each year - currently this is £25,000,000.

Yet the Palestinian state is fundamentally undemocratic and totally corrupt. It is estimated that nearly half the total budget of £194million has been lost in corruption and mismanagement - and that is according to the Palestinians themselves.

The first local elections were due to be held this year, but they have been postponed indefinitely.

While many people in Britain may have some sympathy for the Palestinian cause, the question that must be asked is why should we contribute £25 million to them when their Middle Eastern Islamic neighbours are amongst the richest countries in the world.

£25 million would certainly shorten a few hospital waiting lists.

Union Jack to be dropped

The British Tourist Authority has decided to drop the Union Jack from its promotional literature. Presumably it is thinking about going multinational, setting up its headquarters in India and ceasing to have any connection with Britain - just like British Airways.

American Television Backs I.R.A. Murder Gang



WHY DO SO MANY AMERICANS KNOW SO LITTLE about the war in Ulster? Why do so many give money to the I.R.A.? One reason is the deluge of anti-British, pro-I.R.A. propaganda spewed out by the U.S. "entertainment" industry. We in Britain can see the rubbish spewed out by Hollywood in pro-terrorist films such as *In the Name of the Father* and the recent offering, singing the praises of I.R.A. murderer Michael Collins. But BBC and ITV tend not to buy the even more offensive output of U.S. network television.


The delights we are thus missing can be seen from the episode of the thriller series JAG screened across America on Friday 27th June. JAG is about a U.S. Navy Lawyer (ex fighter pilot) who goes around solving legal problems for the U.S. Navy (a sort of sub-Sherlock Holmes in uniform). The episode screened on June 27th was gloatingly described on the American pro-IRA Internet "Republican Bulletin Board" by one Mark Westphal as follows:

"A female Navy officer stationed in London has a child stolen by the I.R.A. The JAG goes to investigate (in Belfast) and gets captured by the I.R.A. he finally discovers that the baby is the son of an I.R.A. cell leader and the R.U.C. stole the baby to get at him! The JAG gets the baby back with the help of the I.R.A.!! The I.R.A. were shown to be mostly romantic revolutionaries with more than a couple of references to the American Revolution. The R.U.C. were mostly shown to be mean, ruthless and bad tank drivers. They did not show any British military."

How would the Americans like it if the BBC broadcast similar mindless nonsense portraying the Oklahoma Bombers as "mostly romantic revolutionaries"? And the U.S. Police as "mean, ruthless and bad tank drivers"? What would Americans then think if, as a result, British people who didn't know where Oklahoma was, gave millions of dollars to the bombers to plant other bombs in American cities? You can bet your bottom dollar the American Government would not just ignore such propaganda. Propaganda helping to fund the murder of hundreds of its citizens being poured out onto the airwaves by an alleged close ally.

But the British Government does ignore pro-I.R.A. propaganda of this sort on U.S. television and cinema screens. Even though it enables the I.R.A. to raise more money in America to buy guns and explosives to murder British people on British streets.

It's time the Government and our Embassy in Washington fought back against I.R.A. propaganda in the U.S. media. Diplomatically by for-



Everyday peril in Ulster: An I.R.A. firebomb

mally protesting to the U.S. government about propaganda inciting terrorism against a U.S. allied nation being broadcast and screened by U.S. media. Economically by banning all films and television shows made by studios and media enterprises putting out pro-I.R.A. material from being sold in the lucrative U.K. market (the second largest English language market after the U.S. itself). And educationally by funding the production of films and television programmes telling the truth about the issues in Ulster and the murdering nature of the I.R.A. (and their Marxist ideology, which you can be sure gets little airing from the I.R.A.'s friends in America!). Productions both by British studios for sale to the U.S. and by U.S. studios (who generally will film what they're paid to film). Because by ignoring the activities of I.R.A. murderers in the fantasy world of the television and cinema screen, successive British Governments are helping the activities of I.R.A. murderers in the real world, where real British men and women and children lie dead and maimed on real British streets.

Stars Come Out For I.R.A. Murder

SHOW BUSINESS TRENDIES have been raising money in America to support jailed I.R.A. terrorist suspects. They recently raised £12,000 at a function in chic New York restaurant *La Belle Epoque* for Roisin McAliskey, who is in Holloway jail awaiting extradition to Germany at the request of German anti-terrorist police hunting the I.R.A. gang who mortar-bombed a British Army base at Osnabruck last June. She faces six charges of attempted murder and illegally handling explosives.

McAliskey makes no secret of her Irish Republican sympathies. Three years ago she helped her mother, the notorious Bernadette Devlin McAliskey, carry the coffin of Dominic "Mad Dog" McGlinchey. McGlinchey boasted of committing 30 murders: even the I.R.A. considered him too extreme! He led the Irish National Liberation Army, in whose "political wing" the Irish Republican Socialist Party, Roisin McAliskey's mother has long been a leading figure. As with the I.R.A. and Sinn Féin, the I.N.L.A. and the I.R.S.P. are in fact one organisation, whose aim is murder and terrorism.

Roisin McAliskey's boyfriend and the father of her illegitimate offspring born in June, is also a hardened Republican terrorist. Sean McCotter was jailed for 12 years in 1989 having pleaded guilty to possessing 50lbs of homemade explosive and a detonating cord with intent to endanger life. As usual, this IRA terrorist was turned loose, having "done his time" in October 1994, to resume his active Provo membership. He is also the nephew of Seamus Twomey, former "Chief of Staff" of the Provos.

Of Roisin's three brothers in law, one, Liam McCotter is currently serving 17 years in jail for planning a bombing campaign in mainland Britain. He was arrested in Manchester in 1987 after the discovery of a cache containing 190lb of Semtex, detonators, a radio-controlled bomb, three rifles and two pistols. In 1984 he was one of five I.R.A. prisoners who attempted to escape from Whitemoor Jail. Another of Roisin's brothers-in-law, Patrick McCotter, was released from Ulster's Maze Prison in April last year after serving part of a 20 year sentence for the attempted murder of Police officers in Belfast in 1983. He is now at liberty to succeed in murdering policemen next time.

It is people like this that Hollywood low-life fawn upon, and raise money for, and help to make I.R.A. propaganda films falsifying history. The swish evening at *La Belle Epoque* was hosted by Terry George, who directed the Hollywood I.R.A. propaganda film: *Some Mother's Son*, and Irish actress Fionnula Flanagan. Amongst the 200 glitterati who turned up and gave money to bankroll such I.R.A. activities were ageing Hollywood film star Joanne Woodward, wife of Paul Newman, who is himself launching a political career on the left of U.S. politics in association with the Kennedy clan. Also there was Ulster-born Liam Neeson, who starred in the disgracefully distorted Hollywood epic glorifying IRA founding father Michael Collins, and his wife Natasha Richardson.

It is a pity the simpering showbiz airheads who gathered at *La Belle Epoque*, and who gather at similar swish gatherings in trendy venues to help the cause of Irish Republican murder, did not gather instead at another fashionable restaurant near Belfast twenty years ago, on 17th February 1978. Then the I.R.A. made its contribution to a wholly non-political, non-security forces night out at *La Mon* restaurant: a huge bomb attached to gallons of petrol deliberately designed to burn alive the 200 ordinary men, women and children inside.

Messrs. Neeson, Newman, George & Co.'s friends contribution duly reduced a number of families enjoying an innocent night out to mangled lumps of twitching flesh, killing twelve and maiming and hideously disfiguring many of the rest for life. That is the reality of the cause "wee Roisin" serves, which she knows and accepts, that is the cause that these film glitterati support and help to recruit for. It is time harsh reality was brought home to the fantasy world of Tinseltown.

E.U. says 'Non' to our Double Deckers

The European Commission has approved a proposal on the manufacture of buses and coaches which will outlaw Britain's famous double decker buses.

This new "Harmonised Construction Directive" dictates rules on the width of seats, the number of people allowed per square metre of space and the number of staircases leading to an upper deck. Under one section there is provision for an upper deck to be served by one and a half staircases - presumably leaving passengers to jump the rest of the distance.

Britain has been graciously allowed a "temporary opt-out" but this means that British bus manufacturers will not be allowed to export such buses - which will be very convenient for French and German bus manufacturers.

Lord Stoddart of Swindon, a Labour peer, said the effective ban on British exports was a "scandal".

The Telegraph learns from Maxwell

A recent report in The Sunday Times reveals that The Daily Telegraph and Sunday Telegraph are using cash from their employees' pension fund to subsidise discounts on the sales of their newspapers.

For the second successive year Telegraph employees have been told that their pension fund will not be raised - which amounts to a cut in real terms when inflation is allowed for.

The Telegraph defended its decision as "necessary to maintain market share". Former Telegraph editor Sir Peregrine Worsthorne said: "I would have thought it was not fair, if the pension fund is being used to subsidise a price war" - but then that's the trouble with the Thatcherism that The Telegraph supports - it's fine until it affects you.

French to open floodgates

Lionel Jospin, the recently elected French Prime Minister, is set to make good his promise to relax immigration rules. A report commissioned by the new left wing Government recommends allowing the families of political refugees automatic entry and an easing of the rules on becoming French citizens.

Of course, under E.U. regulations, all such French immigrants have the absolute right to come and live in Britain. One side effect of such legislation however is likely to be a further boost to Jean Marie Le Pen who already gained 15% in the recent elections

70,000 Asylum Applicants "should be allowed to stay"

According to a recent report the 70,000 political asylum applicants whose appeals are being considered by the Home Office should be simply allowed to stay in Britain. The report was drawn up by the Asylum Rights Campaign, the Immigration Law Practitioners' Association and the law reform group Justice.

The authors are claiming that the report has received a favourable reaction from Mike O'Brien, the Home Office Minister.

Not all Irish are Irish

Aine Ni Chonaill, 51, lives in South West Cork in Southern Ireland. She is proud to be Irish and she resents the influx of hundreds of New Age travellers who are attracted to this beautiful part of the British Isles and who take up residence in dilapidated caravans and then promptly live off Irish Social Security.

She also complains of the numbers of English visitors who buy up local property forcing up prices so that locals cannot afford to live there.

Aine Ni Chonaill is a teacher at a Catholic Convent in Clonakilty. She describes multiculturalism as "one of the greatest heresies of the 20th century"

Kitchen Factory Closure

Fine British workmanship was consigned to the history books in July when the Prestige factory in Burnley, Lancashire was closed down with the loss of 200 jobs.

At its peak Prestige had over 2,000 workers on the payroll producing the finest kitchenware in the world, but in the last 20 years the firm has been unable to compete with cheaply produced imports of pans and cutlery from the Far East.

In its 53 year history Prestige became a household name for its legendary pressure-cooker and its revolutionary butterfly can opener.

The National Democrats are pledged to protect British industry by introducing strict import controls that would penalise such foreign imports that brought about the closure of the Prestige factory.

Britain to compensate Spanish Armada

Queen Elizabeth I must be turning in her grave. First we allow the Spanish fishing armada to rampage through Britain's traditional fishing grounds. When finally we have the temerity to tell them that what little is left must be for British fishermen our High Court decides that they should be allowed to sue Britain for damages.

This is because under farcical Euro-regulation they can register their ships in Britain and become "British".

Can you imagine it? Now Sir Francis, if you open fire on those Spanish ships that are loaded with cannon then you are in breach of Euro regulations. You must allow them up the Thames with their soldiers, they must be allowed to pillage and rampage through our streets and if you make any attempt to stop them or hinder their activities then you will be sued for damages. So kindly go back to your game of bowls - oh, sorry, of course they have been outlawed and the new Euro game is called boule.

Of course, Sir Francis did have the right idea - he singed the beard of the King of Spain.

In an opinion poll carried out by the Manchester Evening News 97% of the voters said NO! to compensation for the Spanish.

Who trusts Sinn Fein

Just as Mo Mowlem decides to sit down and chat to Sinn Fein/IRA so Martin Meehan, a veteran republican who has spent much of the past 25 years in jail warned that failure to secure a "united socialist republic" by means of the talks would lead "to another phase of the struggle".

He should know because he is a former commander of the IRA in the headline Ardoyne area of North Belfast. A senior army officer said the views of Mr Meehan, who is close to Gerry Adams, were the "current orthodoxy" within the republican movement.

Is it any wonder that an opinion poll by the Manchester Evening News revealed that 85% of respondents said they did not trust the IRA over the recent ceasefire - and Manchester has a high republican Irish population.

Anti-E.U. Meeting Gets Mixed Response

ON SATURDAY 13th July the Campaign for an Independent Britain held a Special General Meeting in central London. The meeting was the result of a resolution at the last Annual General meeting which expressed some dissatisfaction with the level of campaigning by this organisation.

The meeting was meant to be in two parts: the first was a general discussion on a document put forward by the national committee, the second was to be discussion and debate on a number of specific resolutions.

The meeting was chaired by Lord Stoddart of Swindon, the 72 year old former Labour M.P., and there were about 150 people in the audience including 15 members of the National Democrats plus a number of sympathisers and supporters.

During the first part of the meeting various individuals put forward interesting ideas for promoting the anti-E.U. cause, however the meeting seemed to be drifting rather aimlessly. The platform party made up of national committee members came under some criticism for not pursuing a more active policy to promote the campaign. Suggestions such as a national day of "Euro flag burning" were sometimes being treated as direct criticism of the national committee.

At one stage Lord Stoddart felt it necessary to defend his position by describing his occasional speeches in the House of Lords. However the mood of the meeting was summed up by one speaker who pointed out that although C.I.B. had been around for a number of years, very few members of the public had even heard of it.

The Chairman seemed happy for the various suggestions to keep coming and only late in the afternoon did he call on committee members to respond.

Finally the main debates were reached with barely half an hour of the meeting left to go. At this point, to gasps of astonishment, Lord Stoddart announced that he was ruling the rest of the agenda "out of order" and that the motions would not be allowed to be debated. The proposer of the motions had not been told of this in advance and expressed his surprise and annoyance as did several members of the audience.

The last few minutes were taken up by a small number of questions before the meeting was declared closed.

After the meeting a number of CIB members expressed their disappointment at the outcome. One member who said he had come along to offer his services to build up CIB in his part of the country said: "I came



along here full of enthusiasm but after seeing how the committee seem more interested in their own positions than in promoting the campaign for British independence I am not sure I even want to keep my membership".

However other members were far more positive expressing satisfaction that there had been a chance to air their ideas and that some members of the committee seemed genuinely determined to increase the level of campaigning by the organisation. Another very positive feature was the announcement of a regular campaign newsheet.

During the meeting some of the National Democrat "Euro Spoo" leaflets were distributed to those attending and afterwards four people who were not members of the National Democrats took small bulk supplies.



National Democrat Chairman, Ian Anderson, asks one of his more awkward questions.

Did Dr. Sked Deliberately Sabotage U.K.I.P.?

Has Dr. Alan Sked deliberately sabotaged U.K.I.P. partly in frustration at the challenge to his leadership, but also partly to pave the way for his entry into the Conservative Party?

Firstly there is his own bulletin: "...the Tory party has been transformed in opposition beyond recognition. Clarke and Heseltine are now back benchers, while Hague is committed to opposing EMU at future elections..."; "Sir Teddy Taylor and Bill Cash, with whom I had lunch the other day are very optimistic about future developments within it".

Dr Sked still can't help himself from name dropping of the most crass nature, but the fact remains that anyone can see that these comments together with his resignation from even membership of U.K.I.P. mean that he is "cosying-up" to the Tories.

From the point of view of Euro-sceptic Tories, of course, this would be ideal. They are mainly right wingers, however Dr. Sked is the archetypal liberal academic - to have him fighting with them inside the Tory Party would strengthen their hand.

Then there is the not so well known fact that while the excellent U.K.I.P. candidate, Mr James Feisenberger was having a torrid time at the count in Uxbridge and managing to put a brave face on a disastrous result, what was Dr. Sked - his leader - doing: Dr Sked was sipping champagne at a flat in South West London with an extreme Thatcherite M.P. at the birthday party of the person Dr Sked coyly refers to as "Bee". When someone asked Dr. Sked why he was not at the count in Uxbridge



to support his candidate, he is alleged to have replied: "I prefer to be quaffing champagne in a flat in west London to stomping the streets of West Yiewsley."

The U.K.I.P. campaign in Uxbridge was a shambles with absolutely no help from their head office - perhaps the deal with the Tories had already been done - perhaps

part of the deal was for Dr. Sked to try to completely kill off U.K.I.P. in order to pave his own way and ingratiate himself with the usual batch of unscrupulous Tories.

If this is true then it is a gross and horrendous betrayal of the people who have worked so hard to build up U.K.I.P. over the past few years.

Dr Sked has also left a few barbs behind him - a character assassination of his Young UKIP Organiser - perhaps whom he suspects of being responsible for the abusive late night calls that have been a feature of this internecine strife. He has also appointed a caretaker leader - Craig MacKinley - a 30 year old accountant - to lead the party, perhaps hoping that this will be the final nail in the coffin.

The Executive elections are inter-

esting mainly for who is not standing. While Dr Sked and his group of associates are obviously not contesting, neither is Nigel Farage the leader of the internal opposition.

Again one can only speculate, but perhaps the now persistent rumours about financial obligations of the party could be the reason why most of the candidates are what might be described as second or third line management in the party.

Overall it seems unlikely that U.K.I.P. will survive for very long and there is no doubt but that it has been dealt a very heavy blow. On top of Uxbridge and with the next by-election in Paisley the only good thing that has come out of this summer for U.K.I.P. and ex-U.K.I.P. members has been a hefty dose of realism.

Small businesses shouldn't be fooled

A number of organisations are trying to persuade small businesses of the advantage of a single currency for Europe. This comes in the wake of an overwhelming vote by the Federation of Small Businesses not only to ditch the idea of a Euro currency but in favour of withdrawal from the E.U. altogether.

However with sterling's recent rise some small firms are being persuaded to modify their views. They are being told that a single currency would make it easier for them to export goods because there wouldn't be currency fluctuations.

Of course, this is true, however even a simpleton can work out that the reverse is also true - it would then be even easier for companies to export TO Britain. Because coping with international trading is always easier for large firms any increase in this trade will damage small firms.

Yes small firms would find it easier to export but competition at home would be even fiercer. Proof of how to build a booming economy can be provided by the Japanese experience - maintain a secure home market and use exports as a bonus. International free trade is about as good news as is the arrival of another supermarket to small local traders - more like the kiss of death.

Bernard Manning gets warm reception

Bernard Manning is shortly to appear in Wellingborough and his appearance has been warmly welcomed by one section of the community. While liberals and do-gooding university types hate the earthy humour of this Lancashire funny man, the people of Wellingborough are ready to welcome him with open arms.

In the vanguard of this welcoming committee is Asian Deputy Mayor Shashi Dholakia who said: Bernard is welcome here any time. His comedy is about making jokes and laughing at life, and I would not want to stop him. There isn't any racism behind his comedy, it's just designed to make people laugh and there's nothing wrong with that."

Channel 4 to ignore television watchdog

The Broadcasting Standards Commission, a statutory body, warned Channel 4 about showing an uncut version of the Ken Loach film "Ladybird, Ladybird" which contains offensive language and a scene of extreme domestic violence.



Yet despite this, Michael Jackson, the chief executive of Channel 4 has decided to completely ignore the findings of the Commission and has announced plans to show the film again.

Once again the media has demonstrated that it considers itself to be above the law - a sort of 20th century Marie Antoinette - oh dear, look what happened to her.

Chaos over Britain

According to a recent investigation many of the pilots flying over Britain in large passenger carrying aircraft can barely speak English. Such pilots are alleged to have been involved in 30 dangerous or potentially dangerous incidents during 1996. It is further alleged that the Civil Aviation Authority is aware of this but is doing very little.

The French General Election



POLITICAL COMMENTATORS IN FRANCE are for once in full agreement. After two months of careful analysis of the two rounds of voting in the French General Election they have concluded that there were TWO winners of the June poll.

The Socialist Party (PS) of Lionel Jospin won the election but the Nationalist Party (FN) of Jean Marie Le Pen won enough of the hearts and minds of the French people to influence the final result.

The writing was on the wall for president Jacques Chirac's conservative government (RPR/UDF) after the first round of voting on May 25th. Despite polling the most votes, 7,579,243 (29.92%), their overall majority was slashed because the FN polled their best ever vote of 3,785,383 (14.94%). This put the PS with their 24.98% and 6,327,679 votes in the strongest position for the second round of voting on June 1st.

In the French electoral system the two rounds of voting are very different. In the first round the people vote for the candidates representing the party of their political preference. The second round is for those candidates who have polled more than 12.5% of the vote in the first round, so the public then votes for one of the parties left that nearest represents their viewpoint. In the past, in all but a few of the 577 constituencies, it has boiled down to a straight left/

right contest between the PS and the RPR.

In the 1993 general election the FN polled 12.42% of the vote in the first round but took their place in the second round in only 14 constituencies. They stood down in 78 constituencies to enable the RPR candidate to beat PS, as the political preference of individual nationalists in France is more likely to be the conservatives rather than the socialists.

In 1993 when FN leader Jean Marie Le Pen pulled out his candidates in the second round he knew he was helping the RPR and he was hoping that his actions might reap a reward at some future time with the RPR government showing their appreciation by implementing some FN policies. But it was not to be. Instead the RPR under Prime Minister Alain Juppe backed a political campaign of misinformation, smear and in many cases downright lies.

Despite the campaign the FN continued to prosper and it was because of this growth of the nationalists that many pundits believe Chirac and Juppe decided to go for a general election a year earlier than necessary in the hope that the RPR would be in a stronger position than if they waited and the FN had another 12 months to grow.

The second round of politics is all about deals between the parties based on the first round of voting. This is where the power of the smaller parties comes to bear. In the first round on May 25th other significant scorers were the Communists (PCF) who polled 2,519,281 votes (9.94%) and the Greens (VERTS) who polled 1,726,018 votes (6.81%).

Let's look at the voting in the Pute-Dome region in its 5th District of Ambert. In the first round the voting was as follows:-

Chartiere (RPR) 12,213 29.38%
Poeuf (PS) 11,585 27.86%
Chassaigne (PCF) 8,403 20.21%
Chanel (FN) 4,954 11.92%

Four other smaller parties polled 2% each. For the second round the FN and those below it dropped out because they didn't reach the 12.5% threshold. This should have left the contest as a three-cornered fight with the RPR favourites to take the seat. But a deal was struck between the PS and the PCF where the commu-

nist candidate dropped out and instructed his supporters to vote for the Socialist candidate. On June 1st the 2nd round result was:

Poeuf (PS) 23,299 53.12%
Chartiere (RPR) 20,561 46.88%

Of course this deal between the parties of the left worked the other way as well as the 4th District of Marseille shows. The first round result was:

Susini (FN) 7,875 30.89%
Hermier (PCF) 7,733 30.34%
Dary (PS) 3,740 14.67%
Chatel (RPR) 2,787 10.93%

Five other parties polled between two and three percent.

The RPR candidate dropped out for failing to reach the threshold but so did the Socialist candidate who instructed his supporters to vote for the Communist. On 1st June the second round result was:

Hermier (PCF) 15,363 58.36%
Susini (FN) 10,960 41.64%

Of course a deal could have been worked out between the RPR and the FN but once again President Chirac refused, so Jean Marie Le Pen instructed his candidates to stand firm in the 133 seats where they had polled more than 12.5% of the vote. What followed was clearly illustrated in the Hérault region in the 6th District of Beziers where the first round vote was:

Couderc (RPR) 12,970 26.18%
Untereiner (FN) 12,487 25.20%
Barrau (PS) 12,141 24.51%
Rumeau (PCF) 6,911 13.95%

A deal between the RPR and the FN would have secured the seat for the Government party but because no deal was reached the FN candidate stood his ground. The Communist stood down for the Socialists so the result in the 2nd round was:

Barreau (PS) 23,652 43.53%
Couderc (RPR) 20,043 36.88%
Untereiner (FN) 10,646 19.59%

This clearly indicated that the RPR lost the seat because of the FN and this happened in over 100 constituencies which presented the socialists with the election.

What amazed the French establishment was that the FN voters stayed loyal to the FN in the 2nd round even though their candidates had no chance of winning. It was confidently expected that FN support would haemorrhage to the RPR in the 2nd round enabling the government to hang on to power by their fingertips. As much as the establishment was amazed by the 2nd round results so were the French people angered by them, as despite polling 15% of the vote the FN could only win one seat.

This was because of what was called a rainbow alliance against the nationalists where the RPR aligned with the Socialists and Communists

Pictures: (Left) Marie-Caroline le Pen polled 28.48% in Mantes-la-Jolie beating the Conservative RPR and the Socialist PS candidates.

(Right) Jena Marie Le Pen with his officials Bruno Gollnisch (left) and Bruno Megret (right)

to deny the FN a seat. In Marseille in the 7th District the 1st round result was:

Gros (FN) 8,744 31.23%
Andrieux (PS) 6,614 23.62%
Caccint (PCF) 5,309 18.96%
Leccia (RPR) 4,357 15.56%

For the 2nd round the PS candidate was backed by both the Communist and RPR candidate so the 2nd round result was:

Andrieux (PS ALL) 16,458 57.7%
Gros (FN) 12,065 40.9%

Despite all the strands of the French establishment aligning up against the FN their results were quite stunning gaining at least 2 percentage points across the country even in their most unpopular areas.

The results in the south of France verged on a massive breakthrough. In Nice, Cannes and Marseille, FN candidates polled 30% in the 1st round topping the first round poll and despite the Left/Right alliance against them in the 2nd round they polled a huge 45% of the vote, falling just a few thousand votes short of some spectacular successes.

The one seat where the FN was successful was in Toulon where Jean Marie Chevallier defeated the rainbow alliance socialist candidate after winning the 1st round with 32.4% of the vote. The political fall out from these election results has been far reaching. The FN had complained throughout the four week campaign that they had been denied access to the media given to other parties. Political commentators have acknowledged that there was bias and since the election the FN has received widespread coverage with a series of programmes focusing on the seats that could fall to the FN at the next election.

The new socialist government has already reneged on its election promises having its hand forced by the EU to comply with the demands needed to meet the single currency criteria.

The French public is disgruntled. The RPR is disgraced and defeated and the socialist honeymoon is already over. The way is open for a nationalist breakthrough and we are all eagerly awaiting the first parliamentary by-election to see the impact made by Jean Marie Le Pen's FN party.


1^{er} tour 1993 - 1^{er} tour 1997 : l'évolution du FN en Ile-de-France et dans l'Oise

Moyenne par département

Paris - 0,48

Le meilleur score au 1^{er} tour 1997
19^e circonscription : XVIII^e et XIX^e


15,64 %



Hauts-de-Seine + 0,54

Le meilleur score au 1^{er} tour 1997
1^{re} circonscription : Gennevilliers


21,21 %



Val-de-Marne + 0,79

Le meilleur score au 1^{er} tour 1997
3^e circonscription : Valenton, Villeneuve-Saint-Georges


16,76 %



Essonne + 1,86

Le meilleur score au 1^{er} tour 1997
1^{re} circonscription : Evry


19,28 %



Val-d'Oise + 1,93

Le meilleur score au 1^{er} tour 1997
9^e circonscription : Gonesse


22,27 %



Seine-et-Marne + 1,99

Le meilleur score au 1^{er} tour 1997
4^e circonscription : Provins


22,86 %



Yvelines + 2,07

Le meilleur score au 1^{er} tour 1997
8^e circonscription : Mantes


28,48 %



Seine-Saint-Denis + 2,37

Le meilleur score au 1^{er} tour 1997
4^e circonscription : Le Blanc-Mesnil, Stains, Dugny


22,98 %



Oise + 5,6

Le meilleur score au 1^{er} tour 1997
3^e circonscription : Creil-Méru

25,36 %



En quatre ans, d'une élection législative à l'autre, le FN a partout progressé (à l'exception de Paris), parfois dans des proportions spectaculaires.

The change in the vote of the FN in different parts of France since the previous general election, also showing the best results in the first round.



The FLAG

Editorial

Editor: Ian Anderson

Contributing Editors:

John Stephens

Martin Wingfield

P.O. Box 2269, London E6 3RF

Telephone: 0181-471 6872

e mail: natdems@netlink.co.uk

www page: http://www.netlink.co.uk/users/natdems

Ulster: Will it come to the crunch?

So Mo Mowlem has done what most of us always expected: she has decided to negotiate with Gerry Adams and the rest of his terrorist Sinn Fein/IRA gang. Not one pea shooter or catapult has been decommissioned and not one political point has been compromised by SF/IRA. Even as he was being admitted to talks Gerry Adams reiterated that there was no going back on plans for a "united Ireland".

From the Falls Road to Dublin the republicans are preparing for celebration: they feel that everything is moving in their direction. On the other hand loyalists are quiet and glum - they have become profoundly disillusioned with politics, with the British government and with the media. The result was a massive stay-at-home for the local elections.

The feeling in Ulster is similar to that in Czechoslovakia when it became apparent that Britain was selling them down

the river for an illusory peace with Adolph Hitler. Having learnt nothing the current British Government is now proposing to sell a million loyal Ulstermen down the river for an illusory peace with Gerry Adams.

However Ulster is different from Czechoslovakia and the IRA are not the same as the German army. In some cases disillusionment amongst unionists is because they are worried about being defeated and forced under Dublin rule with Bertie Ahern as their new Prime Minister. However for some unionists it is that feeling of fatalism that depresses them as they now know they will have to fight for their existence.

If that is what comes to pass, and it is a dreadful prospect, then it is Mo Mowlem and her Tory predecessors that have caused this - the blood will be on their hands.

Grand Christmas Draw

1st prize: £250.00

2nd Prize £25.00

3rd prize: 35 mm Panoramic lens Camera

4th Prize: 35mm Camera

5th Prize: De Luxe AM/FM Clock Radio

PLUS EXTRA £25 PRIZE DRAW FOR ALL TICKETS SOLD ANNOUNCED WITH EACH ISSUE OF THE FLAG UNTIL CHRISTMAS (winners still stay in draw for £250 main prize.

Tickets: £1.00 each

Please send medraw tickets at £1.00 each.
I enclose a cheque/P.O. for £..... (made payable to the National Democrats)

Name:.....

Address:.....

Send to: The National Democrats, P.O. Box 2269
London E6 3RF



ELECTION RESULTS

National Democrat Election Results Keep Party On Course

THE NATIONAL DEMOCRATS have now contested two local by-elections and one Parliamentary election since the General Election.

Graham Hardy achieved 67 votes, 7.9% in the Osmastone ward of Derby. He fought an excellent campaign which highlighted the threatened closure of the Accident and Emergency Unit at the Derby Royal Infirmary. 7.9% was far and away the best vote achieved by the party anywhere in the East Midlands to date.

Over in the West Midlands Sharron Edwards was the candidate in the Hateley Heath ward of Sandwell Council. This was always going to be a difficult contest with five candidates. However a lot of early work was put in and the result was an excellent 7.0% of the vote.

These two elections were the first ones where the party was able to use its new facilities to produce gloss election leaflets. While further improvements are planned in this regard, the increase in quality and presentation of our policies is certainly starting to make a real difference.

UXBRIDGE

This was the first Parliamentary by-election since the general election and was of some importance to the National Democrats...and to some other political parties.

The strategy adopted by the National Democrats in January this year had included a limited general election campaign but had laid emphasis on by-elections as a way of building the party and helping it to emerge as the leading challenger to the three main parties within the following two years.

The Uxbridge election was called at extremely short notice and caught everyone by surprise. It had been expected to be called for September, even the election staff at the Uxbridge Civic Centre had arranged their holidays on this assumption.

When it was called for 31st July the campaign plan had to be rapidly re-drawn. The original plan had called for the early distribution of warm-up leaflets. The actual campaign period was then to be devoted to door-to-door canvassing.

This had to be drastically curtailed and the party was at full stretch to mount even a basic campaign. However this was achieved: 36,000 election leaflets were delivered by the Post Office, 10,000 warm-up leaflets were distributed door-to-door and on the last Saturday of the campaign a lively session took place with a lorry be-decked with red, white and blue balloons and a very effective

public address system.

What lost out was the canvassing which certainly damaged the final vote. However all the minor parties were similarly handicapped and the National Democrats were able to mount a campaign that was substantially better than most of these. The Socialist Labour (the old Militant Labour Party) campaign was very effective as they have a local councillor and a good base in the constituency.


The extremist groups of the right ran lacklustre campaigns with just groups of youths handing out leaflets and putting up race-hate stickers.

The U.K.I.P. campaign was very low-key and seemed to receive almost no backing from their head office - perhaps as a result of their current internal squabbles and splits.

David Sutch of the Monster, raving Loonies received his usual backing - Brunel University is in the middle of the constituency.

There are at present three main groups that contest elections - the three main parties, the small political parties and the loonies. At the general election the National Democrats were at the lower end of the range of smaller parties. The main aim of by-elections is to start the party moving towards the top end of this range.

The result at Uxbridge was very



UXBRIDGE PARLIAMENTARY BY-ELECTION

Conservative	16,288	53.79%
Labour	12,522	41.36%
Lib-Dem	1,792	5.92%
Monster	396	1.31%
Soc Labour	259	0.86%
BNP	205	0.68%
Nat-Dem	157	0.52%
NF	110	0.36%
Liberal	69	0.22%
UKIP	39	0.13%
Rainbow	30	0.10%

LOCAL ELECTIONS

DERBY, Osmastone Ward

Labour	557	60.8%
Lib-Dem	225	24.5%
Nat-Dem	67	7.9%

National Democrat Candidate:
Graham Hardy

SANDWELL, Hateley Heath Ward

Lab	481	48.1%
Lib-Dem	288	28.8%
Con	144	14.4%
Nat Dem	70	7.0%
Soc Lab	16	1.6%

National Democrat Candidate:
Sharron Edwards

GILLINGHAM, Rainham Mark Ward

Lib-Dem	410	43.6%
Con	367	39.0%
Labour	153	16.3%
UKIP	10	1.0%

ENFIELD, St Marks Ward

Labour	651	49.0%
Con	529	39.8%
UKIP	85	6.4%
Lib-Dem	63	4.7%

YOUR NEAREST BRANCH

LONDON

0181-471 6872

P.O. Box 2269, London E6 3RF.

Branches: East London & Essex, North London, South London

WEST MIDLANDS

01902-892769

P.O. Box 89, Cannock, Staffs WS12 4YZ.

Branches: Dudley, South Staffs, Wolverhampton, Birmingham

THAMES VALLEY

P.O. Box 2269, London E6 3RF.

Branches: Slough, Reading

SOUTH WEST ENGLAND

01392-424098

P.O. Box 51, Exeter, Devon EX2 8AN

Branches: Exeter, Plymouth, Torbay, Honiton.

EAST MIDLANDS

P.O. BOX 214, Derby, Derbyshire DE1 9HA.

Branches: Derby, Northampton, Burton on Trent, Leicester, P.O. Box 1052, Leicester LE2 1YR.

YORKSHIRE & HUMBERSIDE

P.O. Box 118, Hull, East Yorkshire HU9 4YX.

Branches: Hull & East Yorkshire, W. Yorkshire, Lincolnshire.

NORTH WEST ENGLAND

01254-664626

P.O. Box 89, Blackburn, Lancashire BB2 7FW.

Branches: Blackburn, Liverpool, Wirral, Southport

NORTHERN IRELAND

P.O. box 25, Coleraine, N. Ireland BT51 3RX.


Branches: Coleraine, Belfast

If there is no branch near you but you would like to be put in touch with other members nearby please phone head office and we will check our records. This service is available to National Democrat members only.



Left: Sharron Edwards who polled 7.0% in Hateley Heath.

Right: Graham Hardy who polled 7.9% in Osmastone



Letters To The Editor

Send To: The Editor,
The Flag, P.O. Box 2269,
London E6 3RF



Sir James Goldsmith

Sir,
Much has been written about the late Sir James Goldsmith since his recent death. The obituaries, be they complimentary or otherwise, all seem to ignore what I see as a major contribution that Goldsmith has made through his venture into British politics. Goldsmith has shown what we really are.

We may mock his single issue 'party' and voice our contempt for the man who squandered so much money without winning a single seat. We can object to a man who has spent his time between Mexico, France and Spain being allowed to stand for a seat in the U.K. Parliament. We have to marvel at the sheer hypocrisy of a man who on one hand is happy to take a Euro M.P.'s considerable salary and on the other hand lecture us on the folly of Euro membership.

The hypocrisy of his political actions and the disgraceful way in which he bought votes, all demonstrate Goldsmith's contempt for the electorate and for the democratic process.

The 'Great British Public' proved themselves worthy of Goldsmith's contempt. Although the votes cast for the R.P. were not enough to win a seat, the Conservative vote was certainly split and Goldsmith contributed to the removal of the Conservative government from power.

He also showed us the type of person we allow to run our country. Take McAlpine, for example. Quite happy to campaign for the Tories, until, that is, Goldsmith came along rattling his money bags. The question now has to be asked: can we trust any of these 'top Tories' when they tell us of their commitment to Tory policies? And what about 'New Labour'? How sincere are they? And what about Ashdown's sad little band, they've already sold out to Blair in return for a seat at the table. What about Ashdown's pre-election policies? And what are we going to do about it?
G. CARTWRIGHT, London.

I.R.A. Ceasefire

Sir,
It is with deep sadness and sorrow I sit here tonight - 36 hours into the so-called IRA Ceasefire. And as usual the British media have portrayed the IRA as understanding, trustworthy and caring. I ask how can the people of Ulster have faith in Britain when our media openly supports the Sinn Fein/IRA.

The IRA proved what they are two nights previous to the ceasefire by baseball batting and shooting two separate innocent people. How can the British Government entertain these murderers of British civilians at a supposedly adult political debate. I feel as a decent member of the British public I must apologise to the people of Ulster for such treachery from the present British government.
W. THORNTON, Yorkshire.

McDonalds urban blight

Sir,
I am writing to ask your readers for help in trying to stop a McDonalds restaurant from being opened in the centre of Chester opposite our 900 year old cathedral.

The Department of the Environment have over-ruled objections from the city council and the Chester Civic trust and given the American burger chain the go-ahead to open a two storey glass-fronted building in a conservation area of the City.

The DoE claim that the burger bar would enhance Chester's shopping area and add life and vitality to the city

Letters to local newspapers are still an ideal way of getting the message across. Right just 2 out of many that Stephen Ebbs has recently had published.

centre in the evenings.

Most residents in Chester believe it would destroy the ambience of our city, create litter problems and attract youths to congregate in large numbers at night.

If your readers could telephone the Department of the Environment and lodge their complaint with Colin Thompson who is dealing with the matter then I'm certain it might help.
MRS. A. SEALAND, Chester.

E.U. rules on custard pies?



Sir,
Please find enclosed a picture of Jacques Delors moments after being hit by a custard pie by anti-E.U. demonstrators in Grenoble. There is no better way of dealing with these pompous and unelected bureaucrats who dictate our lives.

Well done to those intrepid pie throwers.

Congratulations on Uxbridge

Sir,
Just a short note to congratulate the party on its Uxbridge by-election result. With 11 candidates and an unknown party name it was always going to be difficult.

I think that coming 7th and beating four other candidates shows steady progress. Beating U.K.I.P. was a pleasant surprise to me - I thought they would do much better than us in this Conservative constituency. If the next by-election is a Labour seat and in the Midlands or North we should be able to thrash them. I was also pleased to see that we were quite close to the BNP and Socialist Labour, again I feel sure that if the same election was held in 12 months time we will have grown sufficiently to see them both off.

Well done, here's to the next one.
P. MYERS, Lancashire

Union is not needed

I REFER to the article headed "£2m Plan for Old Power Station Site" in your newspaper, June 6th.

The bid for "Eurogrants" to develop the former Padiham Power Station site is a good example of how the EU is being portrayed as a generous source of funds for British projects to try and increase its perceived necessity among the British population.

This "Euro-grant/Eurofunding" is really a great deception to promote the EU.

For example, during 1995 alone the UK's gross contribution before abatement, was £147 for every individual in the UK. Out of that £147 Brussels paid £54 to British farmers and £27 to other British recipients (often having to be matched pound for pound from other sources) and this would be the area where the funding for the Padiham Power Station

site would appear.

The British recipients are decided by Brussels and the costs of putting the requests forward and the costs of failed requests have to be further borne by the British tax payers, industries, etc. We received £81 back from the £147 given.

Incidentally, "other British recipients" include funding the de-commissioning of our fishing fleet and this is hardly an undertaking we would decide to do were we able to govern ourselves.

The remaining £66 was distributed as follows: £25 was kept by Brussels for its running costs (salaries, rent, expenses, etc), £19 to Spain, £9 to Greece, £7 to Portugal, £5 to Eire and £1 to Denmark.

The National Democrats believe that the EU is a totally unnecessary organisation and seek the immediate and total withdrawal of the UK from it. The UK should be governed by Westminster and not by Brussels.

STEPHEN EBBS
North West Regional
Organiser, National
Democrats PO Box 89
Blackburn BB2 7FW

ELECTION FOCUS

Uxbridge Parliamentary by- election coverage

The coverage of the Uxbridge Parliamentary by-election by the local newspaper was very fair to the National Democrats and to all other small political parties.

Obviously with the Prime Minister and the leader of the opposition visiting the constituency the two main parties did achieve a lot of coverage. But all the small parties were given a reasonable bite of the cherry.

The "Gazette" allowed each candidate to write a 250 word statement to be published the day before polling along with a photograph of the candidate (the National Democrat statement is shown below).

Unlike almost all the other small parties the National Democrats achieved additional coverage by the adoption of a local candidate. One of the small neo-fascist parties had a candidate who appeared never to even visit the constituency and couldn't even be bothered to turn up for the count. While the "Original Liberal" party was so well organised that they could not even supply a proper photograph of their candidate.

The National Democrats may be small but at least the party manages to get the basics right.

Pupil is back as candidate



● Ian Anderson

A FORMER Hillingdon pupil is standing in the by-election as a National Democrat candidate.

Ian Anderson, who was born in Hillingdon and grew up in Ruislip, was a regular worshipper at St Giles Church, High Road, Ickenham.

He was educated at Bishop Winnington Ingram School, Southcote Rise, Ruislip, and Merchant Taylors' School, Sandy Lodge Lane, Northwood.

He later studied Zoology at Oxford University. His party, which was established in 1995, stands for withdrawal from the European Union, restoration of capital punishment and a halt to immigration.

Mr Anderson, who runs his own printing and publishing business in London, would like to see increased family allowances and free school meals for all children.

ANDERSON, Ian Hugh Myddleton National Democrat

- Capital punishment for the murderers of children
- Withdrawal from the European Union
- Total protection for Green Belt areas
- A halt to further immigration into our already overcrowded island.
- Back to basic 3 Rs in schools and a core curriculum with an emphasis on Christian values.
- No more hospital closures.
- Diverting money away from Overseas Aid to the NHS.
- The introduction of a Fares Fair policy on public transport.

These are all policies of the National Democrats and of Ian Anderson the Nat-Dem candidate in the Uxbridge by-election. The National Democrats are offering a range of policies which we hope you will find attractive, and which are very different from those of the old three main parties. Ian Anderson believes they are reasonable and offer a real alternative to the main parties while avoiding the politics of extremism and hatred.

Ian Anderson was born in the Uxbridge constituency and grew up in Ruislip where he went to school before going on to Oxford University. He now runs his own publishing business and knows the constituency well.

At the end of this election, whichever candidate wins, Britain will still have a Labour government - so this is an ideal opportunity to vote for your future and the future of your children.

The National Democrats want to make Britain a prosperous country with a pleasant environment and where people feel safe, and are safe. Ian Anderson respectfully asks for your vote.

Give more help to our small shops

Sir - I refer to the letter from Jack Cooper in last week's *Observer* anticipating Labour giving the go-ahead for 150 out-of-town supermarkets to be built.

Should these ventures go ahead, the opening of these complexes will, of course, make headlines by announcing the creation of x number of (mainly part-time) jobs.

Some concerns are the further destruction of more green belt land, in addition to the 4.4 million homes to be built by 2016, the undermining of the traditional high streets, the extra traffic generated and the noise and other pollution.

Small businesses will be the main victim of such ventures. Will the number of small businesses which cease trading and the number of jobs lost be given as much prominence as those that the developments will claim to create?

Instead, money should be used to encourage small businesses. Let us fill the all-too-frequently-seen empty town centre shops with locally-owned businesses.

This will give each town a bit of individuality and character. So many appear like any other - the same chain stores selling the same mass-produced mainly imported goods.

The companies - building and trading - which are likely to benefit from these out-of-town ventures should make public their political donations. This might explain the reason behind any Labour decision.


The destruction of British green belt land to accommodate big business is not acceptable - Yours etc.

STEPHEN EBBS
Blackburn organiser, National Democrats
North West
PO Box 59, Blackburn

FREEDOM BOOKS

BCM Newpat, London WC1N 3XX

TOP QUALITY TIES



TOP QUALITY TIES BOTH WITH DARK BLUE BACKGROUND

1. Union Jack Tie: Diagonal stripes of small union jack flags £9.95
2. Britannia Tie: has motif and thin red and white diagonal stripes £9.95


SMALL METAL BADGES

1. Union Jack badge..... £1.75
2. England St. George's Flag badge..... £1.75
3. National Democrat badge (for members only) £2.50

CASSETTE TAPES

1. The Scottish Regiments..... £3.99
2. Wartime Memories (songs of WW2)..... £3.99
3. Music of the Streets (traditional songs)..... £6.99
4. Traditional Songs of Scotland..... £6.99

ART POSTERS



1. The Haywain (Constable)
2. Salisbury Cathedral from the Meadows

Full size art posters (approx 60" x 72")
In full colour on heavyweight art paper

£15.00 each including post and packing

"The Right To Live In A Society Free From Crime"

MUCH DISCUSSION in Britain is taking place at the moment about the American method of policing, called "Zero Tolerance". Police chiefs would like to implement it over here but they face an uphill task with many civil liberty and community groups condemning the idea and claiming it would be a breach of individual freedoms.

From 1994 to 1996 New York City, then dubbed 'the crime capital of the world' underwent a total change in the way it was policed. The numbers of policemen out on the streets was dramatically increased and a priority was given to an all-out war on drug suppliers, dealers and users.

The drugs world reeled under the onslaught and after months, where record numbers of arrests were made, those behind the trade either moved underground or left the city.

Removing the drug culture from the streets of New York had an immediate knock on effect as crimes involving robbery with violence and gang warfare with guns dropped dramatically. There was an immediate improvement in the social environment of the city but the police still wanted further progress.

The former Police Commissioner of New York who was in charge of the 'Zero Tolerance' experiment, William Bratton, explains why: "We wanted to reclaim the public space for the ordinary citizen. Their quality of life had been made ever more intolerable by the fear of crime. Now that was being tackled we took on those who perpetrated minor crime, the sort of incidents that spoil a neighbourhood for its inhabitants such as prostitution, graffiti, noise pollution and youths on the streets".

The effect of the 'Zero Tolerance'

experiment was incredible, the world's crime capital saw serious crime drop back to the levels of the early 1970's and in some categories to the 1960's.

With such a successfully-proven method of policing it is amazing that it is not being welcomed over here, but there is stiff resistance to its implementation in Britain's towns and cities.

Opponents claim that:

■ Zero tolerance will be counter productive and possibly racially explosive.

■ The British courts, with their trend of sympathy towards the criminal would be out of sync with such a police method

■ The numbers of petty offenders in prison will shoot up

■ Increased numbers of arrests will take up more police time

■ In the long term it will not solve the socio-economic causes of crime


William Bratton accepts that 'Zero Tolerance' cannot cure the causes of crime, but believes it can prevent anti-social elements from gaining the upper hand. He scoffs at the idea that it might be racially explosive:

"I find that very patronising. I'm no expert on British race relations but would have thought that the ethnic cauldron of New York was a good training ground. To paraphrase Dr Johnson: ethnicity should not be allowed to become the last refuge of the scoundrel".

Britain must surely welcome with open arms such a proven method of policing. It's the right of every law-abiding citizen to be able to walk free and unafraid on every street in Britain. At the moment that is impossible so surely it's the duty of the Government to implement the policing method that can make that a reality.



Can you imagine Sir Paul Condon enforcing zero tolerance: "But that might mean arresting people".



Drugs Claim Another Victim

THE SAME week as customs men were celebrating their biggest cocaine haul, drugs claimed yet another victim when 19 year old Lianne Knight collapsed and died after taking ecstasy at a rave. Lianne's death was high profile, but what the media doesn't cover is the widespread degradation caused by drugs throughout Britain as the victims of the addiction sacrifice everything they have in order to support their habit.

The custom men's haul was also a media event. Five drugs barons were sent to prison and £57million worth of cocaine was seized, but that's just the tip of the iceberg. Every single day hundreds of thousands of pounds worth of drugs arrive in Britain through the channel ports from Holland and Belgium. The couriers pass through customs totally unchecked because customs officers at Dover, Folkestone and Ramsgate have been cut to a minimum.

The National Democrats are the only political party in Britain pledged to remove the drug culture from our shores. To do this the problem must be tackled on every front.

● the numbers of customs officers at our ports and airports must be dramatically increased and there must be a huge investment in the equipment necessary for detection.

● The drugs barons must be deterred from their lucrative trade by the severest of punishments. Those recently convicted of trying to smuggle £57 million of cocaine into Britain, under a National Democrat Government would face the death penalty.

● Smaller pushers who are responsible for distributing the drugs locally must also be deterred by stiff prison sentences if caught as well as hefty fines. They must be severely punished for the misery they bring into their neighbourhoods.

● Finally there must be rehabilitation programmes in every town to help drug addicts off their habit and back to a normal way of life.



Tackling the problem on these four fronts at the same time will send out the strongest signal that Britain is a no-go area for drugs.

The proceeds for the drug barons and pushers alike are huge. They will be prepared to gamble vast sums of money in order to pursue their evil trade, but even for them there will be a time when the stakes are raised too high. Gambling with your life and freedom will, for many, be too high a price to pay.

The Church And Homosexuality

THE LAST ten years have witnessed the accelerated demise of the Church of England. Not only are the empty pews and closed-down churches witness to its falling support, but also a recent opinion poll found that there had been a dramatic downturn in the numbers of people who expressed a sympathy for the Church of England. The people interviewed were those who were not regular churchgoers but who still regarded themselves as having Christian beliefs.

This decline in grass-roots support for the Church of England can be traced back to 1987 and the first time that the acceptability of homosexuality was discussed at the General Synod.

In the fifties, sixties and early seventies, the family unit was the mainstay of local congregations. Generations were raised on the 'going to church' habit, and families who were 'involved' in the church even though if only through Sunday School or helping with the annual Church fete.

Today's Church of England is a far cry from such traditional roots. Homosexuality appears to be the all important consideration replacing all other facets of church life.

In 1991, in total opposition to the feelings of the majority of churchgoers, the House of Bishops backed homosexual relationships. Four years later they put into practice what they preached when they enthroned Michael Turnbull as the Bishop of Durham despite him having committed an act of gross inde-

cency in a public toilet.

In 1995 homosexuals were elected to the General Synod, and in April of this year influential theologian, Dr John Baker, the former Bishop of Salisbury, denounced the Bible's teaching on homosexuality saying that homosexuals should be allowed to have sexual partners and partake in homosexual marriage.

Fighting to stem the growing tide from the homosexual lobby within the Church is the Reverend Tony Higon who is hoping to activate grassroots support within the Church of England to oppose the ordination of practising homosexuals. All clergy in the Church of England have been petitioned and the 10,000 full-time priests have been urged to petition bishops against liberalisation of the Church's teachings.

In the clearest language possible Tony Higon wants the biology of the homosexual act spelt out: "Sexual intercourse is an act of total commitment which belongs properly in marriage", he argues: "whereas homosexual genital acts fall far short of this ideal".

The National Democrats believe that the family unit is the bedrock that maintains the equilibrium of the nation. The promotion of homosexuality as an alternative to family life is a dangerous philosophy that threatens to wreck national life. What consenting adults do in private is their own affair, but the anti-social nature of homosexuality must be exposed and those who promote it in public life treated with contempt and ridicule.

Another attempt to use 'racism'



The number of bogus claims of racial discrimination is now reaching epidemic proportions. It seems that every time a white person is promoted in preference to a black person then the allegation of racial discrimination is being used in an attempt to intimidate employers into giving preferential treatment to black staff.

The latest case concerns sociology lecturer and former nurse Ruth Chigwada-Bailey an advisor to "Emily's List" the organisation which pushes forward women seeking adoption as Labour Party parliamentary candidates.

Mrs Chigwada-Bailey claimed that she suffered racial discrimination and racial hostility while working at a Community Health and Drugs Service Centre for Camden and Islington Community Health Service.

An industrial tribunal ruled that she was so "blinkered" that she saw racial discrimination where none existed.

After the hearing Mrs Chigwada-Bailey showed that she had learnt nothing by immediately announcing that "there was no justice for black people".

Of course the irony is that such cases make most employers very wary of taking on black people in case they are hauled before a court or tribunal.

Election Cash Curb?

The Home Secretary, Jack Straw, has announced that proposals are to be put forward to limit the amount of cash spent by political parties at election times. At present there are no limits on the amount spent by party head offices.

At the last election the two main parties spent at least £30million between them. The National Democrat head office spent about £3,000.

Put Brian First

An extremist right wing organisation has sent some of their rubbish to The Flag. One item however deserves some comment. They have apparently produced a nice promotional pen which is advertised as having the slogan: "Put Brian First" - very pythonesque.

Subscribe to 'The FLAG'

"Sign of a Nation great and strong,
To ward her people from foreign wrong;
Pride and glory and honour - all,
Live in the colours to stand and fall!"



Please find enclosed my subscription of £16.00 for two copies of the next 12 issues of The FLAG. Cheques/Postal Orders made payable to 'The FLAG'. Overseas subscriptions £26.00 for one copy AIR MAIL.

NAME

ADDRESS

Please return this form together with your remittance to: The Secretary, P.O.Box 2269, London E6 3RF.

• There is a special subscription rate for members of the National Democrats only: United Kingdom £14.00; Overseas £24.00.